

**Application No.:** 10/805,766  
**Filing Date:** March 22, 2004

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted by telephone on May 12, 2008 and attended by Examiner Rachel Running and Applicants' attorney, Glen L. Nuttall.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 3-8

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Bergman 2002/0170570

### Proposed Amendments

None explicitly presented

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants argued that Claim 4 in its present form is not indefinite, and that the text of the claim shows that the wheel and ratcheting member are not one and the same. Rather, the ratcheting member is disposed on the wheel.

Applicants and the Examiner also discussed other, currently-rejected claims so that both Applicants' attorney and the Examiner could better understand one another's understanding of the claim language and scope.

### Results of Interview

The Examiner agreed to withdraw the §112 rejection of Claim 4. Applicants' attorney came to better understand the Examiner's concerns about the rejected claims.